



Policy Dialogue

Jakarta, 24-25 August 2015

The Bologna Process Europe's Journey to the European Higher Education Area (EHEA)

Ligia Deca

State Advisor on Education and
Research, Romania



EHEA – a political reality



- 48 member countries
- 16 years of existence for the Bologna Process
- 5 years since the launch of the European Higher Education Area



EHEA Characteristics

- Inter-governmental, voluntary, without a permanent Secretariat
- “Process of voluntary convergence and coordinated reform “of national HE systems
- Includes another political space – the European Union, while engaging in a complex interaction with it
- Inclusive – involves European stakeholder organisations (HEIs, academic faculty, students, QA agencies, business representatives etc.)
- Grounded on European values: public responsibility for HE, institutional autonomy, academic freedom, commitment to integrity and stakeholder participation



EHEA evolution

- Launching: Paris/Sorbonne 1998, Bologna 1999, Prague 2001
- Development: Berlin 2003, Bergen 2005
- Consolidation: London 2007, Leuven/Louvain-la-Neuve 2009, Budapest/Wien 2010
- Establishment: Budapest/Wien 2010, Bucharest 2012
- Prioritisation and reaffirmation of key values: Yerevan 2015
- **Paris 2018: A new EHEA consolidation decade or a new beginning?**



What should it achieve?

- More coherent and readable national HE systems
- Enhance the competitiveness and attractiveness of Europe
- Engage in dialogue with other HE areas (Bologna Policy Forum)
- Build a European dimension to HE across the continent and enhance mobility of students and staff

The Bologna Process





EHEA Achievements

- A space for policy dialogue, a common vocabulary and a sizeable group of Bologna Process experts
- Three cycle system and ECTS implemented almost fully across the EHEA
- 38 countries have functional qualification frameworks, compatible with the QF-EHEA
- Almost all countries have ratified the Lisbon Recognition Convention
- QA = European Standards and Guidelines for QA (2nd edition – includes Student Centered Learning and attention to recognition practices) and EQAR
- EHEA Mobility target: 20% by 2020 (EHEA Strategy to support it)
- Increased stakeholder participation in decision-making
- **It is still an ongoing process, with political commitment**



Challenges

- Reform fatigue & search for an identity in line with the new European context (impact of migration, financial crisis, demographic downturn, Euroscepticism, extremism and terrorism etc.)
- Uneven implementation and difficult translation of the Bologna Process goals at the level of academic communities
- The move from structural to in-depth reforms (social dimension, student centered learning, linking EHEA with the European Research Area etc.)



Yerevan 2015 – a renewed vision

- Enhance the quality and relevance of learning and teaching
 - Foster the employability of graduates throughout their working lives
 - Make the EHEA HE systems more inclusive
 - Implementing agreed structural reforms
- + a renewed governance system for the EHEA to support these goals



New EHEA policy documents

- The revised Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG)
- The European Approach for Quality Assurance of Joint Programmes
- The revised ECTS Users' Guide, as an official EHEA document



Beyond technical reforms...

*“The Bologna Process has created a **space for dialogue and cooperation which reaches far beyond Europe**. Dialogue not just about the technicalities of credit systems and quality assurance, but about the fundamental principles – freedom of expression, tolerance, freedom of research, free movement of students and staff, student involvement and the co-creation of learning – that reflect the basic values on which European society is based.”*

Tibor Navracsics, Commissioner responsible for Education, Culture, Youth and Sport - Preface to the 2015 Bologna Process implementation report



Lessons learned

- Formal implementation is different from results achieved
 - *E.g. national qualification frameworks (NQF) are in place, but few national cases that managed real involvement of employers, in order for NQF to be recognised and used*
- Reaching mutual understanding of policy priorities and instruments is perhaps the most underestimated feature of an educational space. No overarching cooperation in HE can be achieved only with governmental commitment
- Policy instruments need to be fit for purpose and in line with the current realities (QA and QF are clear examples in this regard)



Lessons learned (2)

- Public support from the wider public for the HE policy space is essential
- No progress without real political commitment (no double discourse) and joint effort of stakeholders towards common goals (with ownership feeling)
- For more effective policies, reliable research based on sound data is needed - enhance the role of HE research and the dialogue with policy makers (see the Bologna Process Researchers Conferences in 2011 and 2014)
- Coherence with other policy areas: primary and secondary education, LLL, research, employment, foreign policy, immigration etc.
- National or regional 'recipes' for reform cannot be exported



Policy Dialogue

Jakarta, 24-25 August 2015

Thank you for your attention!

ligia.deca@presidency.ro